

Source Apportionment of Atmospheric PM_{2.5} at a Coastal City in Southern Taiwan

Sheng-Lun Lin^{1,2,*}, Hung-Yi Lu³, Wen-Jhy Lee³, Guo-Ping Chang-Chien^{2,4}

¹Department of Civil Engineering and Geomatics, Cheng Shiu University, Kaohsiung City, 83347, Taiwan.

²Super Micro Mass Research and Technology Center, Cheng Shiu University, Kaohsiung City, 83347, Taiwan.

³Department of Environmental Engineering, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan City, 70101, Taiwan.

⁴Department of Cosmetics and Fashion Styling, Cheng Shiu University, Kaohsiung City, 83347, Taiwan.

Keywords: PM_{2.5}, Chemical Composition, Chemical Mass Balance, Source Apportionment, Emission Controls

Presenting author email: cbmsgml@gmail.com

Abstract

Fine particulate matters (PM_{2.5}) has been identified as one of the major air pollutants in urban areas, which are responsible for the adverse effects on public health and the deterioration of visibility. Its air quality standards were promulgated world widely, while becomes a new challenge for Taiwan environment issue. In Taiwan, the most of major cities are located close to the ocean for the transportation demand of economic development, when Tainan is the most representative coastal city in southern Taiwan. The population density goes up to 14,000 people km⁻² in the coastal region, which is similar to those of Tokyo and New York. Additionally, there are over 9,000 factories around the city. Nevertheless, the open burning is another potential emission source, since a wide agricultural region is located at the north. On the other hand, the monsoon winds come from the North and South and bring the pollution from South East Asia and China via long range transport. Consequently, the complicated PM_{2.5} source apportionment is interesting and helpful for further proposing local atmospheric control strategies.

In this study, the atmospheric levels and characteristics of PM_{2.5} were evaluated by measuring the mass concentration and compositions, including water-soluble ions, carbonates (EC and OC), and metals, at Xinying and Tainan cite for three seasons during 2013. The former cite site was located at more rural area at northern Tainan, and the other one was at urban center at south, which were both only 15 kilometers far from coastline. Their relative locations might also indicate the pollutant transports by seasonal winds. Additionally, a Chemical Mass Balance (CMB) receptor model was used to identify possible sources of PM_{2.5} and their contributions.

The current PM_{2.5} levels in Tainan in spring and winter (41-49 µg m⁻³) were substantially high. The most dominant anions were sulfate and nitrate, while the cations were dominated by ammonium. These three ions accounted for over 70% mass of total ionic composition. These results are similar to those obtained by Yin *et al.* (2012) and Souza *et al.* (2014) whereby SO₄²⁻, NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺, were the dominants ions in PM_{2.5} during a major haze even in Yong'an China. Furthermore, the secondary inorganic ions NH₄⁺ NO₃⁻ and SO₄²⁻ were the dominant species in PM_{2.5} recently in Beijing, China by Wang *et al.* (2015). According to *I* and *J* values, determined by

Seinfeld *et al.* (1998), the case, *J* < 1 and *I* > 2, indicated that the NH₄⁺ was enough to neutralize the SO₄²⁻, but could not capture all the NO₃⁻. Therefore, the excess NO₃⁻ could couple with the other cation, such as Na⁺, Mg²⁺, and Ca²⁺. Thus, controlling NO_x might be better than SO_x to reduce secondary PM_{2.5} level. For carbon contents, the EC/OC ratio was relatively higher in summer than in other seasons at Tainan site, which might be resulted from the stronger photosynthesis and humidity led to formation of the secondary aerosol in summer. Additionally, the atmospheric boundary layer was lower in winter and cause the inhibit the diffusion of primary EC, leading to a much lower EC/OC in urban area with multiple emission sources. The above phenomenon could not found in Xinying site, which has less population and primary EC emissions. Furthermore, information on OC and EC is useful for understanding the light scattering capacity of the aerosol and the visibility of the atmosphere (Souza *et al.*, 2014).

According to the results CMB modeling, the main contributions on PM_{2.5} were (NH₄)₂SO₄ and NH₄NO₃, which are secondary PM, and traffic, which is primary aerosol. The sulfate and nitrate in PM_{2.5} were mostly emitted from the stationary sources and diesel vehicles. Crustal elements, which could be considered as the background components were varied between different sampling sites. Notably, the traffic contribution was 32~50% in the high pollution season, especially at the urban area. Seasonal variations were evident whereby open burning, secondary OC and suspended dust were highest contributors of PM_{2.5} in summer.

Consequently, to improve PM_{2.5} of Tainan City, the priority control pollutants are primary PM_{2.5} (open burning, construction sites and road dust by vehicles), NO_x (diesel vehicle emissions), and SO_x (fuels).

Yin, L., Niu, Z., Chen, X., Chen, J., Xu, L. and Zhang, F. (2012). *Chemical compositions of PM_{2.5} aerosol during haze periods in the mountainous city of Yong'an, China*. J. Environ. Sci. 24: 1225-1233.

Souza, D.Z., Vasconcellos, P.C., Lee, H., Aurela, M., Saarnio, K., Teinilä, K. and Hillamo, R. (2014). *Composition of PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ Collected at Urban Sites in Brazil*. Aerosol Air Qual. Res. 14: 168.

Wang, H., Tian, M., Li, X., Chang, Q., Cao, J., Yang, F., Ma, Y. and He, K. (2015). *Chemical Composition and Light Extinction Contribution of PM_{2.5} in Urban Beijing for a 1-Year Period*. Aerosol Air Qual. Res. 15: 2200-2211.