

Characterization of Atmospheric PM_{2.5} in Southern Taiwan

Chong-Yu Tseng^{1*}, Sheng-Lun Lin^{2,3}, Wen-Jhy Lee¹ and John Kennedy Mwangi¹

¹Department of Environmental Engineering, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan City, 70101, Taiwan.

²Department of Civil Engineering and Geomatics, Cheng Shiu University, Kaohsiung City, 83347, Taiwan.

³Super Micro Mass Research and Technology Center, Cheng Shiu University, Kaohsiung City, 83347, Taiwan.

Keywords: Agricultural area, CMB, PM_{2.5} and Seasonal variation,

E-mail: st83634@gmail.com

Fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) has been identified as one of the major air pollutants in urban areas, which is responsible for the adverse effects on public health (Chang *et al.*, 2013, Diaz, 2008). In this study, the characteristics of PM_{2.5} were studied for Chiayi City, which has a high population density and is surrounded by agricultural area. The mass concentration, water-soluble ionic component, trace metal component, carbon component and modeling the contribution source for PM_{2.5} were evaluated. The Taiwan Emission Data System 8.1 (TEDS 8.1) was utilized as the input data to Model-3/CMAQ for modeling seasonal PM_{2.5} contributions from stationary, mobile, and area sources.

In the spring, the PM_{2.5} concentrations were 33-61 µg/m³. The PM_{2.5}/PM₁₀ ratios were 45.9-58.0%, which is characteristic of a typical urban area. The main wind directions were NW and N, leading to the relatively higher PM_{2.5} levels around the east of Chiayi City. There was particulate accumulation in valley or near-mountain locations. The mass concentrations of PM_{2.5} were mainly composed of 58.7% water soluble ions, 14.4% carbonates, and 5.1% metals. The ion contents in PM_{2.5} were majorly composed of NO₃⁻ (22.4%), SO₄²⁻ (16.8%), and NH₄⁺ (14.3%). In average, the OC (10.1%) mass content was higher the EC (4.9%).

In the summer, the PM_{2.5} concentrations ranged from 9 to 22 µg/m³. The range of PM_{2.5}/PM₁₀ ratios was 33.3-42.9%, which is significantly lower than other seasons. This could be as a result of the inhibition of primary particles and secondary gaseous precursors by temporal precipitation. Generally, the mass concentrations of PM_{2.5} were mainly composed of 39.1% water soluble ions, 18.0% carbonates, and 13.0% metals. The ion contents were majorly composed of SO₄²⁻ (21.8%), NH₄⁺ (8.8%), and NO₃⁻ (7.2%). The average OC (10.6%) mass content was higher the EC (7.4%).

In the autumn, The PM_{2.5}/PM₁₀ ratios were 34.9-59.1%. For autumn, mass concentrations of PM_{2.5} were mainly composed of 54.2% water soluble ions, 10.2% carbonates, and 7.5% metals. The ion contents were majorly composed of SO₄²⁻ (21.9%), NO₃⁻ (16.6%), and NH₄⁺ (11.0%). The average OC (6.4%) mass content was higher the EC (3.8%).

In winter, the PM_{2.5} concentrations were 29-69 µg/m³. The PM_{2.5}/PM₁₀ ratios were 52.9-67.9%, reporting the higher fine particle level with more potential harmful effects than other seasons. The mass concentrations of PM_{2.5} were mainly composed of 59.2% water soluble ions, 13.7% carbonates, and 4.6% metals.

Similar to other seasons, the major ions were SO₄²⁻ (20.7%), NO₃⁻ (17.5%), and NH₄⁺ (12.6%). Similarly, the average OC (9.5%) mass content was higher the EC (3.9%).

The results of CMB model revealed the main contribution for locally atmospheric PM_{2.5} to be as follows. Spring: secondary nitrate (20.13%), traffic source (15.45%), secondary sulfate (12.29%), re-suspending soil particle (10.80%), petrochemical industry (7.46%), agricultural open burning (5.96%), metallurgical industry (4.92%), cement industry (4.25%) and sea salt (2.71%). Summer: secondary sulfate (18.98%), secondary nitrate (13.73%), re-suspending soil particle (12.36%), traffic source (11.42%), petrochemical industry (10.87%), sea salt (10.46%), cement industry (4.08%), and metallurgical industry (3.92%). Autumn: secondary sulfate (18.22%), secondary nitrate (17.12%), traffic source (15.59%), petrochemical industry (9.08%), re-suspending soil particle (8.10%), agricultural open burning (7.79%), sea salt (7.43%), cement industry (5.19%), and metallurgical industry (3.80%). Winter: traffic source (21.56%), secondary nitrate (18.09%), secondary sulfate (12.98%), agricultural open burning (9.89%), petrochemical industry (9.23%), re-suspending soil particle (8.32%), sea salt (5.36%), metallurgical industry (3.92%), and cement industry (3.20%).

The results of this study provide useful information for air quality control in such a densely populated and agricultural city.

Cheng, S.-Y., Chou, C.C.K., Liu, S. and Zhang, Y., (2013). *The Characteristics of PM_{2.5} and Its Chemical Compositions between Different Prevailing Wind Patterns in Guangzhou*. *Aerosol and Air Quality Research*. 13(4): 1373-83.

Diaz, G.R.V., (2008). *Sources of risk to health by exposure to PM_{2.5} in Mexico City*. *Epidemiology*. 19(1): S223-S4.

Hsu, C.Y, Chiang H.C., Lin S.L., Chen, M.J., Lin, T.Y., Chen Y.C., (2016). *Elemental characterization and source apportionment of PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} in the western coastal area of central Taiwan*. *Science of the Total Environment*. 541: 1139-50.